

Annual Environmental Report

2022



Durrow

D0289-01

CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2022 AER

- 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES
- 1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.4 LICENSE SPECIFIC REPORT INCLUDED IN AER

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

- 2.1 DURROW WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE
 - 2.1.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY - DURROW WWTP
 - 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - DURROW WWTP
 - 2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE
 - 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR DURROW WWTP
 - 2.1.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO DURROW WWTP

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

- 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
 - 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
 - 3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
 - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
- 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS
 - 4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
 - 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
 - 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

- 5.1 DRINKING WATER ABSTRACTION POINT RISK ASSESSMENT
- 5.2 PEARL MUSSEL REPORT
- 5.3 PRIORITY SUBSTANCES ASSESSMENT

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

- 6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

7 APPENDIX

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2022 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0289-01, Durrow, in Laois in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports where relevant are included as an appendix to the AER.

1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES

A summary of any improvements undertaken is provided where applicable.

There were no capital works, significant changes or operational changes undertaken in 2022.

1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant(s)

- Durrow WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 3000, the treatment type is 3P - Tertiary P removal.

1.3 ELV OVERVIEW

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.

Discharge Point Reference	Treatment Plant	Discharge Type	Compliance Status	Parameters failing if relevant
TPEFF1600D0289SW001	Durrow WWTP	Treated	Non-Compliant	ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l

1.4 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING

Assessment / Report

There are no Licence Specific Reports included in this AER.

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

2.1 DURROW WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE

2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - DURROW WWTP

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	12	48	23
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous) mg/l	12	284	121
COD-Cr mg/l	12	784	291
pH pH units	12	7.92	7.58
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	12	5.05	2.44
Suspended Solids mg/l	12	512	150
Hydraulic Capacity	N/A	3459	413

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

Significance of Results:

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is greater than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. Further details on the plant capacity and efficiency can be found under the sectional 'Operational Performance Summary'.

2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF1600D0289SW001

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included ^{Note 1}	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of exceedances with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
COD-Cr mg/l	125	250	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	17	Pass
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous) mg/l	25	50	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	1.28	Pass
Suspended Solids mg/l	25	62	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	2.07	Pass
pH pH units	6.00	9.00	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	7.99	Pass
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	2.00	2.40	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	0.196	Pass
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	0.500	0.600	N/A	12	1	1	0.183	Fail

Notes:

1 – This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied

2 – For pH the WWDA specifies a range of pH 6 - 9

Cause of Exceedance(s):

Biological Sludge Issue at the WwTP.

Significance of Results:

The WWTP is non compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence. The impact on receiving waters is assessed further in Section 2.

2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF1600D0289SW001

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	River Station Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Ecological Status
Upstream	240891, 177473	RS15E010550	No	No	Yes	No	Moderate
Downstream	241655, 177508	RS15E010880	No	Yes	Yes	No	Good

The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the **Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary**.

Significance of Results:

The WWTP discharge was not compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence for the following: ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l.

The ambient monitoring results do not meet the required EQS at the upstream and the downstream monitoring locations. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

Based on ambient monitoring results a deterioration in BOD, Ortho-Phosphate, & Ammonia concentrations downstream of the effluent discharge is noted.

A deterioration in water quality has been identified, however it is not known if it is or is not caused by the WWTP.

Other causes of deterioration in water quality in the area are unknown.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

2.1.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - DURROW WWTP

2.1.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - Durrow WWTP

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)
COD	41924	2412	94
SS	21522	302	99
cBOD	17366	186	99

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

2.1.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - Durrow WWTP

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

Durrow WWTP	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m³/day) - As Constructed	2021
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	673
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m³/day)	3459.32
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	413.01
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	3000

Durrow WWTP	
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week) ^{Note1}	963
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	2037
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	No

Note 1: Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

2.1.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - DURROW WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below.

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)
There is no Sludge and Other Input data for the Treatment Plant included in the AER.							

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature related to the discharge(s) to water from the WWTP and network is included below.

Number of Complaints	Nature of Complaint	Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints
There were no relevant environmental complaints in 2022.			

3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Uisce Éireann but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS

Incident Type	Cause	No. of incident occurrences	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)
Abatement Equipment offline	Dosing pump failure or maintenance at WWTP	1	No	Yes
Breach of ELV	WWTP biological sludge issue	1	No	Yes

3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2022	2
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2022	2
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow (chamber) where applicable	Irish Grid Ref. (outfall)	Included in Schedule of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2022 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2022 (m ³)	Monitoring Status
SW2	241347 177435	Yes	Low Significance	Meeting Criteria	14	89112.77	Monitored
SW004	240835 177471	Yes	Low Significance	Meeting Criteria	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored

Any TBC SWO(s) were identified as part of the on-going National SWO programme and will be updated in subsequent AER(s) once the information is confirmed.

SWO Summary	
How much sewage was discharged via monitored SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m ³)?	89,112.77
Is each SWO identified as not meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?	N/A
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	Yes
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	N/A

4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS

4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides a list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Description	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
There are no Specified Improvement Programmes for this Agglomeration.							

A summary of the status of any other improvements identified by under Condition 5 assessments- is included below.

4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description / or any Operational Improvements	Improvement Source	Expected Completion Date	Comments
No additional improvements planned at this time.				

4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Tables 4.2.1 and 4.2.2.

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides a list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Licence Specific Report	Required by licence	Year included in AER	Included in this AER
Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment	Yes	2017	No
Pearl Mussel Report	Yes	2016	No
Priority Substances Assessment	Yes	2017	No

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Is there a need to advise the EPA for Consideration of a Technical Amendment/Review of the Licence?	No
List reason e.g. additional SWO identified	N/A
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modification to the existing WWDL with respect to condition 4 changes to monitoring location, frequency etc	No
List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements	N/A
Have these processes commenced?	N/A
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	N/A

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Date: 26/02/2023

This AER has been produced by Uisce Éireann's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of,

Eleanor Roche

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

7 APPENDIX

Appendix

Appendix 7.1 - Ambient Monitoring Summary

Durrow 2022 Ambient Monitoring Summary

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish National Grid Reference (Easting, Northing)	EPA Feature Coding Tool code	Receiving Waters Designation (Yes/No)			
			Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish
Upstream Monitoring Point	240891, 177473	RS15E010550	No	No	Yes	No
Downstream Monitoring Point	241655, 177508	RS15E010880	No	Yes	Yes	No

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Current WFD Status	cBOD (Mean mg/l)	o-Phosphate (as P) (Mean mg/l)	Ammonia (as N) (mean mg/l)
Upstream Monitoring Point	Moderate	1.952	0.021	0.034
Downstream Monitoring Point	Good	2.552	0.022	0.037
<i>Difference</i>		<i>0.600</i>	<i>0.001</i>	<i>0.003</i>
EQS		1.500	0.035	0.065
% of EQS		40.000%	1.632%	4.231%

Durrow 2022 Ambient Monitoring Data

Upstream Results									
Date	Ammonia as N	BOD	COD	Dissolved Oxygen	Ortho-P as P	Suspended Solids	pH	Temperature	
	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	% Sat.	mg/l	mg/l	pH units	Degrees C	
31-Mar-2022	0.027	< 1	< 20	98.000	0.021	< 2	8.030	7.000	
30-June-2022	0.031	2.800	25.000	76.200	0.026	< 2	8.110	14.200	
29-Sep-2022	0.045	2.500	< 20	83.100	0.024	< 2	8.020	11.000	
24-Nov-2022	0.034	1.800	< 20	82.700	< 0.02	< 2	8.020	7.600	
Mean	0.034	1.952	16.857	85.000	0.021	1.414	8.045	9.950	
95%ile	0.043	2.755	23.371	95.765	0.026	1.414	8.098	13.720	
Downstream Results									
Date	Ammonia as N	BOD	COD	Dissolved Oxygen	Ortho-P as P	Suspended Solids	pH	Temperature	
	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	% Sat.	mg/l	mg/l	pH units	Degrees C	
31-Mar-2022	0.025	< 1	< 20	103.500	< 0.02	< 2	8.080	7.100	
30-June-2022	0.050	2.000	25.000	82.700	0.045	< 2	8.150	15.200	
29-Sep-2022	0.036	5.800	26.000	88.800	< 0.02	34.800	8.250	10.800	
24-Nov-2022	0.037	1.700	28.000	83.400	< 0.02	10.800	8.060	2.600	
Mean	0.037	2.552	23.286	89.600	0.022	12.107	8.135	8.925	
95%ile	0.048	5.230	27.700	101.295	0.040	31.200	8.235	14.540	

Note: Where the concentration in the result is less than the limit of detection (LOD), a value of $LOD/\sqrt{2}$ was used in calculating the mean and 95%ile concentrations.